

COUNTY FOREST COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLAN
TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 800
INTEGRATED RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

| <u>Section</u> | <u>Subject</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------|---|-------------|
| 800 | CHAPTER OBJECTIVES..... | 5 |
| 805 | INTEGRATED RESOURCE MANAGEMENT APPROACH..... | 5 |
| 810 | SUSTAINABLE FORESTRY..... | 5 |
| 810.1 | TOOLS IN INTEGRATED RESOURCE MANAGEMENT..... | 6 |
| 810.1.1 | Compartment Recon..... | 6 |
| 810.1.2 | Forest Habitat Classification System..... | 6 |
| 810.1.3 | Soil Surveys..... | 6 |
| 810.1.4 | Ecological Landscapes of Wisconsin..... | 6 |
| 810.1.5 | Integrated Pest Management..... | 7 |
| 810.1.6 | Best Management Practices for Water Quality..... | 7 |
| 810.1.7 | Fire Management..... | 8 |
| 810.1.7.1 | Prescribed Fire..... | 8 |
| 810.1.8 | Outside Expertise, Studies and Survey..... | 8 |
| 810.1.9 | Local Silvicultural Field Trials..... | 9 |
| 815 | MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS TO REDUCE LOSS | 9 |
| 815.1 | RISK FACTORS..... | 9 |
| 815.1.1 | Wind..... | 9 |
| 815.1.2 | Fire..... | 9 |
| 815.1.3 | Flooding | 9 |
| 815.1.4 | Climate Change..... | 10 |
| 815.1.5 | Timber Markets..... | 10 |
| 820 | PLANT COMMUNITIES MANAGEMENT..... | 10 |
| 820.1 | SILVICULTURAL PRACTICES/TREATMENTS..... | 10 |
| 820.1.1 | Natural Regeneration..... | 11 |
| 820.1.1.1 | Clearcutting/Coppice..... | 11 |
| 820.1.1.2 | Shelterwood / Seed Tree..... | 11 |

| <u>Section</u> | <u>Subject</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------|---|-------------|
| | 820.1.1.3 All Aged Regeneration Harvests..... | 12 |
| | 820.1.1.4 Prescribed Burning..... | 12 |
| | 820.1.1.5 Soil Scarification..... | 12 |
| | 820.1.2 Artificial Regeneration..... | 13 |
| | 820.1.2.1 Mechanical Site Preparation..... | 13 |
| | 820.1.2.2 Chemical Site Preparation..... | 13 |
| | 820.1.2.3 Prescribed Burning..... | 13 |
| | 820.1.2.4 Tree Planting / Seeding..... | 13 |
| | 820.1.3 Intermediate Treatments..... | 14 |
| | 820.1.3.1 Mechanical Release..... | 14 |
| | 820.1.3.2 Chemical Release..... | 14 |
| | 820.1.3.3 Non-Commercial Thinning (TSI)..... | 14 |
| | 820.1.3.4 Thinning / Intermediate Cuts..... | 14 |
| | 820.1.3.5 Pruning..... | 15 |
| 820.2 | SILVICULTURAL PRESCRIPTIONS..... | 15 |
| | 820.2.1 Even-Aged Management..... | 15 |
| | 820.2.1.1 Aspen | 15 |
| | 820.2.1.2 Oak Management..... | 15 |
| | 820.2.1.3 Red Pine Management | 17 |
| | 820.2.1.4 Red Maple Management | 17 |
| | 820.2.1.5 Tamarack Management | 17 |
| | 820.2.2 Uneven-Aged Management..... | 16 |
| | 820.2.2.1 Northern Hardwood..... | 16 |
| 820.3 | LOCALLY UNCOMMON TREES / FOREST TYPES..... | 17 |
| | 820.3.1 <i>American Elm</i> | 17 |
| | 820.3.2 <i>Butternut</i> | 17 |
| | 820.3.3 <i>Yellow Birch</i> | |

| <u>Section</u> | <u>Subject</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------|---|-------------|
| 820.4 | FOREST TYPES REQUIRING INTENSIVE EFFORT TO REGENERATE..... | 19 |
| 820.4.1 | Northern Red Oak..... | 17 |
| 820.5 | INVASIVE PLANT SPECIES OF CONCERN..... | 18 |
| 820.6 | LEGALLY PROTECTED AND SPECIAL CONCERN PLANT SPECIES..... | 18 |
| 820.7 | TREE RETENTION GUIDELINES..... | 19 |
| 820.8 | BIOMASS HARVESTING GUIDELINES..... | 28 |
| 825 | ANIMAL SPECIES MANAGEMENT..... | 29 |
| 825.1 | TECHNICAL PLANNING..... | 29 |
| 825.2 | GUIDELINES..... | 29 |
| 825.3 | INVENTORY..... | 29 |
| 825.4 | RESOURCE MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS FOR WILDLIFE..... | 30 |
| 825.5 | <i>IMPORTANCE OF HABITATS.....</i> | <i>30</i> |
| 825.5.1 | <i>Non-forested Wetlands.....</i> | <i>31</i> |
| 825.5.2 | <i>Aquatic Habitats.....</i> | <i>31</i> |
| 825.5.3 | <i>Riparian and Other Non-managed Areas.....</i> | <i>31</i> |
| 825.5.4 | <i>Early Successional Forests.....</i> | <i>31</i> |
| 825.5.5 | <i>Conifers.....</i> | <i>31</i> |
| 825.5.6 | <i>Oak Management.....</i> | <i>32</i> |
| 825.5.7 | <i>Uneven/All-aged Management.....</i> | <i>32</i> |
| 825.5.8 | <i>Large Forest Blocks.....</i> | <i>32</i> |
| 825.5.9 | <i>Grasslands, Openings, Upland Brush.....</i> | <i>32</i> |
| 825.6 | INTENSIVE WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT PROJECTS..... | 33 |
| 825.6.1 | Wisconsin Wildlife Action Plan / SGCN..... | 33 |
| 825.7 | FISH AND WATERS MANAGEMENT..... | 33 |
| 825.7.1 | Technical Planning and Surveys..... | 34 |
| 825.7.2 | Special Projects..... | 34 |
| 825.7.3 | Shoreland Zoning..... | 34 |
| 825.7.4 | Access and Development..... | 34 |

| | | |
|--------------------|---|-----------|
| 825.7.5 | Important Water Resources..... | 34 |
| 830 | EXCEPTIONAL RESOURCES, UNIQUE AREAS | 35 |
| 830.1 | HCVFs FOR FSC AND DUAL-CERTIFIED COUNTIES..... | 35 |
| 830.2 | AREAS RECOGNIZED BY STATE OR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT..... | 36 |
| 830.2.1 | <i>State Natural Areas</i> | 36 |
| <u>Section</u> | | |
| 830.4 | CULTURALLY SIGNIFICANT SITES..... | 36 |
| 830.4.1 | Burial Mounds, Cemeteries..... | 36 |
| 835 | AESTHETICS..... | 36 |
| 835.1 | AESTHETIC MANAGEMENT..... | 36 |
| 835.2 | AESTHETIC MANAGEMENT ZONES..... | 36 |
| 835.2.1 | Aesthetic Management Zone Examples..... | 36 |
| 835.2.2 | Aesthetic Management Prescriptions/Options..... | 37 |
| 840 | LANDSCAPE MANAGEMENT..... | 37 |
| 840.1 | CONSERVATION OF BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY..... | 37 |
| 840.2 | HABITAT FRAGMENTATION..... | 37 |

800 CHAPTER OBJECTIVES

1. To introduce and communicate to the public, the County Board of Supervisors, and to the Wisconsin DNR, the integrated resource approach that forestry, wildlife and other natural resource staff will use on the Barron County Forest during this planning period.

805 INTEGRATED RESOURCE MANAGEMENT APPROACH

Integrated Resource Management is defined as: "the simultaneous consideration of ecological, physical, economic, and social aspects of lands, waters and resources in developing and implementing multiple-use, sustained yield management" (Helms, 1998).

This balance of ecological, economic, and social factors is the framework within which the Barron County Forest is managed.

The working definition of Integrated Resource Management means, in large part, keeping natural communities of plants and animals and their environments healthy and productive so people can enjoy and benefit from them now and in the future.

The remainder of this chapter is written to help communicate how the Forest is managed on an integrated resource approach.

810 SUSTAINABLE FORESTRY

"the practice of managing dynamic forest ecosystems to provide ecological, economic,

social and cultural benefits for present and future generations" NR 44.03(12) Wis. Adm. Code and s.28.04(1)(e), Wis. Stats.

For the purpose of this chapter, sustainable forestry will be interpreted as the management of the Forest to meet the needs of the present without knowingly compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs (economic, social, and ecological) by practicing a land stewardship ethic which integrates the growing, nurturing, and harvesting of trees for useful products with the conservation of soil, air and water quality, and wildlife and fish habitat. This process is dynamic, and changes as we learn from past management.

810.1 TOOLS IN INTEGRATED RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

810.1.1 Compartment Recon

The County will support and utilize the compartment reconnaissance procedures as set forth by the DNR Public Forest Lands Handbook 2460.5. WisFIRS serves as the database for housing recon information.

810.1.2 Forest Habitat Classification System

The Forest Habitat Classification System (*A Guide to Forest Communities and Habitat Types of Northern Wisconsin Second Edition; Kotar, et al.*) is a natural classification system for forest communities and the sites on which they develop. It utilizes systematic interpretation of natural vegetation with emphasis on understory species.

Forest Habitat Classification Types are discussed in greater detail in the "Integrated Resource Management Units" (Section 880) section of this chapter.

810.1.3 Soil Surveys

Forestry staff's knowledge of forest ecology and their experience across the landscape can assist in associating forest habitat types and site indices with soil type information. These associations can be beneficial in determining management prescriptions for specific sites.

WisFIRS contains soil survey data, and this information can also be found on the NRCS website-based soil survey.

810.1.4 Ecological Landscapes of Wisconsin

The Wisconsin DNR uses Ecological Landscapes of Wisconsin (WDNR Handbook 1805.1) which is an ecological land classification system based on the National Hierarchical Framework of Ecological Units (NHFEU). Ecological landscapes distinguish land areas different from one another in ecological characteristics. A combination of physical and biological factors including climate, geology, topography, soils, water, and vegetation are used. They provide a useful tool and insight into ecosystem management. Land areas identified and mapped in this manner are known as ecological units.

Generally accepted silvicultural systems are prescribed on a stand level scale, in recognition of the position within an ecological landscape.

810.1.5 Integrated Pest Management

“The maintenance of destructive agents, including insects, at tolerable levels, by the planned use of a variety of preventive, suppressive, or regulatory tactics and strategies that are ecologically and economically efficient and socially acceptable”

The Committee has the authority to approve and direct the use of pesticides and other reasonable alternatives in an integrated pest management program on the Forest.

Refer to Chapter 600 (610.3) for more detailed discussion and integrated pest management strategies.

810.1.6 Best Management Practices for Water Quality

The most practical and cost-effective method to assure that forestry operations do not adversely affect water quality on the County Forest is to utilize "best management practices" (BMP's) as described in *Wisconsin's Forestry Best Management Practices for Water Quality*. Publication number FR-093.

Consistent with the aforementioned manual (page 6), Barron County will use BMP's on the Forest with the understanding that the application of BMP's may be modified for specific site conditions with guidance from a forester or other natural resource professional. Modifications will provide equal or greater water quality protection or have no impact on water quality. Areas with highly erodible soil types, proximity to streams or lakes, or steep slopes may require mitigating measures in excess of those outlined in the manual. All Barron County employees practicing forestry will receive BMP training. Additionally, Barron County will encourage BMP training of all logging contractors that operate on County timber sales.

810.1.7 Fire Management

Reference Chapter 600.

810.1.7.1 Prescribed Fire

Prescribed burning on the County Forest may play an important role in management. Many of the plant communities present today are the result of wild fires.

As the needs are presented to regenerate or maintain timber types or other plant communities, the Committee will examine the costs and benefits of each opportunity. Increased regulations, the county's cost of completing the burn, and the risk of breakouts and uncontrolled fires will have to be considered with any benefits of vegetation management through prescribed burning.

All prescribed burning will be done in accordance with Wisconsin State Statutes 26.12, 26.14, and the DNR Prescribed Burn Handbook 4360.5 and in cooperation with the Department of Natural Resources per section 605.5 of this plan.

810.1.8 Outside Expertise, Studies and Survey

Additional data necessary to make management decisions on the County Forest will be sought from agencies or individuals, who have the best capability and technical expertise, including, but not limited to:

- Water Resources: WDNR

- Wildlife Resources: WDNR
- Soil Resources: NRCS
- Mineral Resources: WDNR
- Wetland Resources: WDNR, Army Corps of Engineers, County Zoning
- Navigable Streams: WDNR, Army Corps of Engineers, County Zoning
- Floodplains: County Zoning
- Cultural Resources: WDNR, State Historical Society
- Entomology / Pathology: WDNR
- Endangered Resources: WDNR
- Forestry: Cooperative Field Trials, see WDNR website
- Other subjects as needed

810.1.9 Local Silvicultural Field Trials

To date, no field trials have been conducted on the Barron County Forest.

815 MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS TO REDUCE LOSS

815.1 RISK FACTORS

815.1.1 Wind

Wind events have and will continue to occur on the County Forest. Although wind events are not preventable and are not practically forecastable, the County can take steps to reduce loss in the event of a windstorm. Planning of timber harvest to minimize risk of wind-throw on shallow soils is one method of reducing risk. Mitigating the impact of wind by maintaining a healthy forest with a variety of age-classes is also another strategy. When wind damage occurs, the quick response of the department is critical to salvage forest products before economic value is reduced or lost. Salvage sales will be a priority immediately following clean-up operations to open roads and facilities and to reduce risks to the public.

815.1.2 Fire

The Barron County Forest does not lie in an area with high wildfire risks. Areas of the forest, due to tree/plant species composition and droughty soils, may have a higher risk of wildfire than other areas of the forest. The effects of fire can be mitigated through forest management to a certain degree. Maintaining a road system that provides access to fire prone areas may reduce losses in the event of wildfire. This may include the blocking of logging roads to prevent damage to the

roads by the public that may render them un-useable by firefighting equipment when needed. A close working relationship with the DNR fire staff will also help minimize the change of wildfire and the damage sustained in the event of wildlife on the County Forest.

815.1.3 Flooding

As with all weather events, the event itself typically cannot be prevented. Monitoring beaver activities in areas where beaver induced flooding may impact forest resources will be undertaken during recon activities. Beaver activity that is or may impact forest resources, may be mitigated by removal of beaver and digging or blowing dams. These activities will be undertaken by the Animal and Plant Health Inspections Services (APHIS) of the USDA through a contract with the County. In the event there is a loss of timber resource due to flooding, actions will be taken to salvage forest products before economic value is lost.

815.1.4 Climate Change

Climate change will continue to impact the Barron County Forest. Mitigating the effects will include monitoring seasonal trends in temperature and precipitation. Maintain a variety of cover-types and age classes.

815.1.5 Timber markets

Barron County will monitor timber markets and schedule harvests to take advantage of increased demand for certain forest products when possible.

820 PLANT COMMUNITIES MANAGEMENT

Barron County recognizes the importance of maintaining the diversity of the forest under an ecosystem approach. The process involved in making management decisions to encourage or not encourage specific species or communities is complex. It includes an understanding of:

- Objectives of the County
- Integration of landforms, soils, climate, and vegetative factors
- Habitat classification

- Past, present and future desired condition
- Surrounding ownership patterns and general objectives
- Wildlife habitat and other values
- Social needs

820.1 SILVICULTURAL PRACTICES/TREATMENTS

Silviculture is the art and science of controlling forest composition, structure, and growth to maintain and enhance the forest's utility for any purpose. These practices are based on research and general silviculture knowledge of the species being managed. The goal is to encourage vigor within all developmental stages of forest stands, managed in an even aged or uneven aged system. The application of silviculture to a diverse forest needs a unified, systematic approach. The DNR Public Forest Lands Handbook (2460.5) and DNR Silvicultural Guidance will be used as guidelines for management practices used on the County Forest.

820.1.1 Natural Regeneration

Where feasible, natural regeneration will be encouraged through the use of silvicultural methods that promote regrowth and recruitment of the forest. In general, the particular silvicultural method chosen will depend on the biological functions of the target species or forest type.

820.1.1.1 Clearcutting/Coppice

Clearcutting is a silvicultural method used to regenerate shade intolerant species. Complete, or nearly complete removal of the forest canopy will stimulate the regeneration and growth of species such as aspen, jack pine and white birch. This method is also used as a final rotation removal in species such as red oak, red pine and others. Tree retention guidelines are followed when prescribing clearcut or coppice cuts.

820.1.1.2 Shelterwood / Seed Tree

Shelterwood harvest is a method used to regenerate mid-shade tolerant and shade

tolerant species. Partial canopies stimulate regeneration, enhance growth and can provide seed source. Canopies are eventually removed. This method is used for white birch, white pine, red oak, and northern hardwood (when managing even aged).

820.1.1.3 All Aged Regeneration Harvests

All aged regeneration harvests are used in shade tolerant species. Gaps in the forest canopy allow regeneration to occur throughout the stand. Over time, multiple entries into the stand will create multiple age class structure with the intent of creating a fully regulated stand. All aged regeneration harvests may be prescribed in the form of single tree selection, group selection or patch selection. This method is used in northern hardwood and occasionally in swamp hardwoods (when managing for all aged)

820.1.1.4 Prescribed Burning

Prescribed burning may be utilized as a tool to promote regeneration. A number of forest types in Barron County are ecologically tied to fire. Burning may create seeding conditions or release regeneration from competing vegetation. Prescribed fire may be used for regeneration of red oak or white pine.

820.1.1.5 Soil Scarification

Scarification is a technique used to prepare a seedbed beneath forest stands scheduled for harvest and regeneration. This mechanical disturbance that exposes bare mineral seedbeds and creates conditions necessary for regeneration of pine species. Disturbance that mixes seed into duff and soil layers creates optimal conditions for regeneration of oak, white birch, fir and others. Barron County typically utilizes straight blade for soil scarification.

820.1.1.6 Other

Other natural regeneration techniques may be considered where necessary and

appropriate. New methods for natural regeneration are continually tested for effectiveness.

820.1.2 Artificial Regeneration

When natural regeneration fails, or when tree species present do not coincide with management objectives for the site, artificial means will be employed to establish a desirable stand of trees. Artificial regeneration on a site usually requires some form of site preparation followed by seeding or planting.

820.1.2.1 Mechanical Site Preparation

Mechanical site preparation includes the use of soil disturbance equipment such as a disc, roller chopper, patch scarifier, disk trencher or V-plow prior to tree planting or seeding. These types of equipment are used to reduce logging debris to a smaller size, incorporate debris into the soil, clear brush and debris from the site, and to reduce competition from other vegetation.

820.1.2.2 Chemical Site Preparation

Herbicide application can be an effective means of controlling unwanted vegetation in order to establish seedlings or plantations. It should be used sparingly and in situations where mechanical treatment is not expected to provide the level of vegetative control needed. Chemical will be applied in strict accordance with label recommendations, requirements, and under the oversight of a certified applicator. Herbicides will normally be applied with motorized, ground based equipment and hand applications. A written prescription for each herbicide application will be prepared and kept on file.

820.1.2.3 Prescribed Burning

Prescribed burning for site preparation can be used to reduce logging debris, clear the site, reduce competing vegetation, and to release nutrients into the soil.

820.1.2.4 Tree Planting / Seeding

Both machine and/or hand planting/seeding will be utilized to insure adequate regeneration. The selection of species will be determined according to the specific management objectives and capabilities of each site. Planting or seeding will primarily occur in areas where natural regeneration is inadequate or conflicts with the management goals of the site. County will make all reasonable efforts to source seeds/seedlings from local genetics.

820.1.3 Intermediate Treatments

Intermediate treatments are those practices used to enhance the health and vigor of a forest stand. In general, intermediate treatments are applied to forest stands managed as even aged.

820.1.3.1 Mechanical Release

Mechanical release is the removal of competing vegetation by means other than herbicide or fire. Mechanical may include releasing young pine plantations from competing vegetation using chain saws or other hand-held equipment; or mowing to release regeneration.

820.1.3.2 Chemical Release

Chemical Release is the removal of competing vegetation from desirable trees through the use of herbicides. It should be used sparingly and in situations where mechanical treatment is not expected to provide the level of vegetative control needed. Chemical will be applied in strict accordance with label recommendations, requirements and under the oversight of a certified applicator. A written prescription for each herbicide application will be prepared and kept on file.

820.1.3.3 Non-Commercial Thinning (TSI)

In general, most thinning needs are accomplished through commercial harvest operations. Non-commercial thinning may be considered if the individual site requirements, funding and/or available labor make it desirable.

820.1.3.4 Thinning / Intermediate Cuts

Management of some even aged forest types necessitates the use of commercial thinning, also known as intermediate harvests, to maintain forest health and vigor. Thinning is generally prescribed in forest types such as red pine, red oak, and in cases of even aged hardwood management. Thinning may be prescribed on other even aged types as appropriate and where feasible. Intermediate harvests include prescriptions for residual densities, marking priorities, spacing, crown closure, diameter distribution, or other measurements.

820.1.3.5 Pruning

Pruning is the removal of limbs from lower sections of trees to increase log quality. Major pruning efforts were conducted in the past but it is not generally recognized as economically viable on the forest.

820.2 SILVICULTURAL PRESCRIPTIONS

820.2.1 Even-Aged Management

A forest stand composed of trees having relatively small differences in age. Typical cutting practices include: clear cutting, shelterwood cutting and seed-tree cutting. Even aged management is generally required to manage shade intolerant, early successional forest types.

820.2.1.1 Aspen

These are types where aspen trees comprise of more than 50% of the stems. On the forest, aspen types may be dominated by quaking or big tooth aspen or a combination of both. Aspen stands contain a wide variety of associated hardwood and conifer species.

Shade tolerance:

Intolerant

Habitats:

PArVAm, AVDe

| | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| <u>Intermediate treatments:</u> | <i>None</i> |
| <u>Median rotation age:</u> | <i>60</i> |
| <u>Primary regeneration method:</u> | <i>Natural</i> |
| <u>Harvest method:</u> | <i>Clearcutting with coppice</i> |
| <u>Habitat value:</u> | <i>Early successional related species</i> |
| <u>Economic value:</u> | <i>Fiber production / bolts</i> |
| <u>Insect disease considerations:</u> | <i>Hypoxylon and other cankers</i> |
| <u>Trends:</u> | <i>General declines on statewide acreage</i> |
| <u>Landscape considerations:</u> | <i>Retain/increase acreages where possible</i> |

820.2.1.2 Oak Management

Management of oak stands, primarily Red Oak, is carried out in an intolerant management scheme. Since the seedlings of oak require as much sun light as possible to develop and compete in the forest environment we have developed and use a regeneration strategy called a shelterwood. Stands ready for regeneration are entered and selectively marked leaving the best trees and creating crown closure of approximately 60 percent. This stand is however, not allowed to be cut until there is an adequate mast crop to insure good seedling reproduction. This harvest is required to be accomplished in the fall as acorns are falling and before the ground is frozen. Measures are taken to insure adequate ground scarification and eliminate undesirable competition for the future seedlings. After the seedlings are allowed to develop for three to five years the shelterwood trees are removed, in effect a two phase clear cut. Rotation ages will vary from 100 to 150 years based on site and tree quality. The habitat classification system and soil maps are extensively used to determine which oak stands can be successfully reproduced. This removal of high volumes and high quality saw logs greatly enhances the revenues of the Forest. Maturing oak stands have high wildlife values mostly because of the mast crops, which are used extensively by birds and animals alike.

The reproduction of oak using this system can have large aesthetic impacts especially on larger stands near public roads. The use of sale design can mitigate some this impact, but the use of informational signage and public meetings to explain the reproduction system have and will help.

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| <u>Shade tolerance:</u> | <i>Intolerant to Mid-Tolerant</i> |
| <u>Habitats:</u> | <i>AAt</i> |
| <u>Intermediate treatments:</u> | <i>Improvement Thinning</i> |
| <u>Median rotation age:</u> | <i>90 - 140</i> |
| <u>Primary regeneration method:</u> | <i>Natural</i> |
| <u>Harvest method:</u> | <i>Shelterwood</i> |
| <u>Habitat value:</u> | <i>Early successional related species</i> |
| <u>Economic value:</u> | <i>Fiber/Sawtimber / bolts/firewood?</i> |

Insect disease considerations:
Trends:
Landscape considerations:

Oak wilt, Two line Chestnut borer.
General declines on statewide acreage
Retain/increase acreages where possible

820.2.1.3 Red Pine Management

Shade tolerance:
Habitats:
Intermediate treatments:
Median rotation age:
Primary regeneration method:
Harvest method:
Habitat value:
Economic value:
Insect disease considerations:
Trends:
Landscape considerations:

Intolerant
AVDe
Improvement Thinning
60-120
Artificial / Seed Tree.
Regeneration
Shelter for Wildlife / Thermal Cover
Fiber/Sawtimber / bolts.
Annosum root rot, Red Pine pocket decline.
Stable.
Retain acreages.

820.2.1.4 Red Maple Management

Shade tolerance:
Habitats:

Intermediate treatments:
Median rotation age:
Primary regeneration method:
Habitat value:
Economic value:
Insect disease considerations:
Trends:
Landscape considerations:

Mid-Tolerant
PMV, AVVib, AVde, AQVib, AA, TMC, and ATM
Improvement Thinning
60-80
Shelterwood, Group Selection or Coppice.
Browse for Wildlife
Fiber/Sawtimber.
None.
Stable.
Retain acreages.

820.2.1.5 Tamarack Management

Shade tolerance:
Habitats:
Intermediate treatments:

Intolerant
TTS, PO, PCS.
None.

| | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| <u>Median rotation age:</u> | 70 |
| <u>Primary regeneration method:</u> | Clear-cut. |
| <u>Habitat value:</u> | Browse for Wildlife / Thermal Cover. |
| <u>Economic value:</u> | Fiber/Sawtimber. |
| <u>Insect disease considerations:</u> | Larch sawfly / Flooding. |
| <u>Trends:</u> | Stable to Decreasing. |
| <u>Landscape considerations:</u> | Retain acreages. |

820.2.2 Uneven-Aged Management

A forest stand composed of trees in various age and size classes. The typical cutting practice is selection cutting, where individual trees are removed from the stand. Regeneration is continually occurring after the stand is cut. Uneven-aged management is generally used to manage shade tolerant forest types.

820.2.2.1 Northern Hardwood

These are stands dominated by shade tolerant and mid-shade tolerant species. In Barron County, northern hardwood stands are typically dominated by sugar maple, ash, basswood, red maple and to a lesser extent yellow birch.

| | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| <u>Shade tolerance:</u> | Tolerant to mid-tolerant |
| <u>Habitats:</u> | ACaCi |
| <u>Intermediate treatments:</u> | None |
| <u>Median rotation age:</u> | n/a |
| <u>Primary regeneration method:</u> | Natural – all aged regeneration |
| <u>Harvest method:</u> | Single tree, gaps, |
| <u>Habitat value:</u> | (consider the Wildlife Action Plan data) |
| <u>Economic value:</u> | Fiber/bolts/sawlogs |
| <u>Insect disease considerations:</u> | emerald ash borer, others |
| <u>Trends:</u> | Increasing |
| <u>Landscape considerations</u> | Maintain or increase |

820.3 LOCALLY UNCOMMON TREES / FOREST TYPES

The presence or lack of a particular tree species is dependent on land capability, climate, natural range, natural or human disturbance and many other factors. The following trees and types are considered uncommon on the Barron County Forest and likely across the

general region. These trees may be left as reserves in even aged management prescriptions, or in thinning and all aged regeneration harvests.

820.3.1 American Elm (*Ulmus americana*.) is scarce primarily due to Dutch elm disease. Healthy looking elm may be left uncut in hope that they may continue on the landscape as potential resistant seed sources.

820.3.2 Butternut (*Juglans cinerea*) is declining due to butternut canker. Healthy individuals that appear to be canker free will be reserved in the forest as potential resistant seed sources.

820.3.3 Yellow Birch (*Betula Lutea*) occurs on the forest in some northern hardwood stands. Due to the high White-tailed Deer population, natural reproduction is nonexistent. Only over mature and very poor quality individuals are removed during selective harvest. If healthy trees are to be removed, attempts are made at creating gaps that should enhance the chance of reproductive success.

820.4 FOREST TYPES REQUIRING INTENSIVE EFFORT TO REGENERATE

There are certain forest types within the County Forest that are difficult to regenerate. In many cases, this difficulty may be related to the exclusion of fire from the landscape, deer herbivory or other factors. The following list itemizes forest types with difficult regeneration and County management goals:

820.4.2 Northern red oak

Northern red oak is a shade intolerant to mid tolerant species found in primarily even aged stands. Northern red oak appears to require disturbance to regenerate and herbivory appears to be a limiting factor on regeneration success. The County is committed to retain as much of the existing acreage of northern red oak as possible. Regeneration efforts will focus on timing soil scarification with good acorn crops and shelterwood harvests. Regeneration may require prescribed burning to release seedlings from competing vegetation.

820.5 INVASIVE PLANT SPECIES OF CONCERN

Invasive plants can cause significant damage to the forest. Invasive species can displace native plants and hinder the forest regeneration efforts. Preventing them from dominating forest understories is critical to the long-term health of the forest. There are a number of invasive plant species in varying densities on the County Forest. Some warrant immediate and continual treatment efforts while others may be allowed to remain due to extent and financial ability to control them. The County will continue to train staff in invasive species identification as well as attempt to secure funding sources to control them as much as is practical.

Invasive Plants of concern include;

- Buckthorn
- Honeysuckles
- Garlic Mustard
- Wild Parsnip

820.6 LEGALLY PROTECTED AND SPECIAL CONCERN PLANT SPECIES

There are plants in Wisconsin that are protected under the Federal Endangered Species Act, the State Endangered Species Law, or both. On County Forest, no one may cut, root up, sever, injure, destroy, remove, transport or carry away a listed plant without a valid endangered or threatened species permit. There is an exemption on public lands for forestry, agriculture and utility activities under state law. The County will, however, make reasonable efforts to minimize impacts to endangered or threatened plants during the course of forestry/silviculture activities (typically identified in the timber sale narrative).

The Wisconsin Department Natural Resources Bureau of Natural Heritage Conservation tracks information on legally protected plants with the Natural Heritage Inventory (NHI) program. The NHI program also tracks Special Concern Species, which are those for which some problem of abundance or distribution is suspected, but not yet proven. The

main purpose of this category is to focus attention on certain species before they become threatened or endangered.

The County has access to this data under a license agreement and is committed to reviewing this database for endangered resources that may occur within proposed land disturbing project areas.

820.7 TREE RETENTION GUIDELINES

Barron County Forest

GREEN TREE RETENTION GUIDELINES (GTR)

Reserve Trees

Reserve trees are living trees, ≥ 5 inches dbh, retained after the regeneration period under even-aged or two-aged silvicultural systems. They are retained well beyond stand rotation, and for purposes other than regeneration. They may be harvested eventually or retained to complete their natural lifespan (becoming a snag and then coarse woody debris). Reserve trees can be dispersed uniformly or irregularly, as single trees or aggregated groups or patches, or any mixture thereof. Synonyms include standards, legacy trees, and green tree retention.

The characteristics of desirable reserve trees are highly variable and depend on the intended benefits, the species present, stand condition, and site. Desired compositional and structural attributes may be present when trees are selected and stands are rotated, or additional time may be required for development.

Typical characteristics of desirable individual reserve trees (either scattered or within patches) include:

- Large size (tree height, diameter, crown dimensions) for the species and site.
 - If large trees are lacking, then potential future large trees can be selected.
- Older trees with large size and rough bark.
- A mix of vigorous and decadent trees.
 - Vigorous trees of long-lived species can enable long-term retention and potentially yield a variety of benefits.
 - Decadent trees can provide current and future cavity trees, as well as future snags and down coarse woody debris.
- A mix of species, including locally uncommon species and mast trees.

The development and maintenance of large structures (vigorous trees, cavity trees, snags, down

woody debris) and species diversity is typically encouraged.

Generally, poor candidates for individual reserve trees include:

- Relatively small (height, diameter, crown), suppressed to intermediate trees.
- Relatively young trees within the stand.

These smaller, younger trees are retained in reserve groups and patches along with larger, older trees.

Exceptions to these typically desirable and generally poor reserve tree characteristics will occur.

Benefits of Reserve Tree Retention

Silvicultural practices are designed to manipulate vegetation to achieve management objectives. At its foundation, silviculture is based on understanding and working with ecological processes. Silvicultural practices that more closely emulate natural disturbance and stand development processes are more likely to sustain a wide array of forest benefits. Most natural disturbance regimes and events retain compositional and structural legacies in heterogeneous patterns and create ecological complexity. Silvicultural practices that develop and maintain reserve trees in managed stands can enable the promotion of ecological complexity – composition, structure, and pattern.

The retention of reserve trees can provide a “lifeboat” function that contributes to the conservation of biological diversity (see preceding section). These structures facilitate the perpetuation of some biota (plant and animal species and genotypes) on site. They also perpetuate habitat for re-colonization and occupation. They can improve landscape connectivity, facilitating the movement of some organisms. Reserve trees influence reorganization and recovery processes in post disturbance ecosystems; they can sustain functional roles and modify the post-disturbance environment.

The actual benefits achieved through the retention of reserve trees can be variable, depending on such factors as landscape composition and structure, stand composition and structure, site, retention design, and management objectives.

Some specific potential benefits include:

- Timber Production
 - Reserve high quality trees for future harvest
 - Perpetuation of tree species diversity
- Wildlife and Plant Habitat (Biodiversity)
 - Cover
 - Cavity (den) and nest trees
 - Display locations
 - Food (foraging, hunting)
 - Future snags and down woody debris (coarse and fine)
 - Habitat diversity
 - Protect special habitat
 - Travel corridors
- Aesthetics
 - Limit line of vision
 - Break up “clearcut” look
 - Retain visually unique trees
 - Provide diversity in future stand
- Water and Soil Quality
 - Reduce run-off
 - Reduce erosion
 - Maintain water and nutrient cycles
- Miscellaneous
 - Buffer adjacent stands
 - Protect cultural resources
 - Landmarks, such as marker trees and witness trees

Potential Costs of Reserve Tree Retention

The retention of reserve trees in actively managed stands can provide ecological benefits desired by landowners and society. However, there are also costs or trade-offs. The primary potential cost is reduced timber yield at the stand-level. Also, retention can result in less available habitat for some wildlife species, particularly those that prefer open, treeless habitat. However, impacts on long-term forest ecosystem sustainability and productivity are uncertain; current understanding suggests that the maintenance of ecological complexity will more likely sustain long-term productivity.

Some specific potential costs include:

- Potential additional operational costs to manage reserve tree retention
- Potential for reduced timber growth rates maintained by larger, older trees
- Potential for reduced short-term stand-level timber yields by foregoing harvest of some trees
- Potential for epicormic branching
- Potential for stem and crown damage during stand harvest
- Potential for crown dieback and mortality following harvest

- Potential for windthrow, particularly on wet or shallow soils, or for shallow rooted species
- Potential damage to younger stand if reserve trees are harvested during mid-rotation
- Reduced growth rates of regeneration occurring beneath reserve trees
- Potential sites for pathogen breeding and maintenance
- Potential for reduced habitat for or increased predation of certain wildlife species

Considerations for Reserve Tree Retention

Reserve overstory trees will shade portions of a newly developing stand. Increased numbers of dispersed reserve trees and trees with larger and denser crowns will cause more shading. Furthermore, reserve tree crowns can expand over time, increasing shading effects. Shading by reserve trees potentially can reduce growth within portions of newly developing established even-aged stands. The point at which growth reductions become significant depends on a variety of factors, including: stand management objectives (for reserve trees and young trees), growth rates and potential development of reserve trees, growth rates and shade tolerance of species comprising the new stand, site quality, understory competition, and potential damaging agents. In general, to promote optimum growth of established even-aged stands of reproduction, (nearly) full sunlight is preferred. Under even-aged management systems, when objectives include the retention of reserve trees beyond the regeneration establishment phase, crown cover of <20% generally (for most species and conditions) will not significantly reduce vigor, growth, and development of most of the developing stand. If reserve trees are dispersed and expected to survive and grow, crown cover will increase over time; 15% crown cover is a generally recommended maximum for dispersed retention at final rotation. If reserve trees are aggregated, then shading impacts will be reduced; total crown cover retained could be greater, and will depend on stand management objectives.

Excessive shading may also be a concern when regenerating shade intolerant species in small stands or in narrowly linear stands, surrounded by relatively mature forest. In such cases, it may be necessary to retain fewer reserve trees. Alternatively, there may be opportunities to redesign stand boundaries creating a larger stand with increased opportunities for internal tree retention.

Reserve tree retention is a generally recommended silvicultural practice for stands ≥ 10 acres. It is encouraged in smaller stands, but operational, shading, and other biological issues may limit application.

Insect and disease issues and potential impacts on tree health should be another consideration in reserve tree selection and design. Regeneration methods are designed to foster the vigor of the regenerating stand. Although the imminent mortality of some reserve trees may be desirable or acceptable, typically some vigorous trees will be retained with the expectation of continued growth and survival (perhaps for a long time). When regenerating a stand and retaining reserve trees, potential risks to tree health should be evaluated, and methods implemented to reduce risks while achieving stand management objectives. In most cases, well designed regeneration and retention strategies can minimize risks; however, stand and site conditions may limit options in some cases. Refer to the cover type chapters in this handbook and forest pest management guidelines to appropriately consider and address insect and disease risks when selecting and designing regeneration methods and reserve tree retention for a specific stand and site.

Two examples of how insect and disease considerations can influence reserve tree selection and design:

- Red pine: Retaining red pine reserve trees when regenerating a new red pine stand may significantly increase the risk of Sirococcus and Diplodia incidence within the young stand. This risk is highly variable geographically; where experience has shown the risk to be significant, then retaining red pine reserve trees over red pine regeneration would be poor silviculture. In such cases, retain other species (e.g. oak) as reserve trees if available; if not available, then it may not be possible to retain reserve trees as generally recommended, but consider including representation of other species as part of stand regeneration to provide increased options for future managers. Red pine can be an excellent reserve tree when regenerating other species (e.g. aspen or oak).
- Jack Pine: In general, retaining jack pine reserve trees when regenerating a new jack pine stand is not recommended, because of the risk of budworm outbreaks. When regenerating jack pine, other species (e.g. oak) should be retained as reserve trees if available. Jack pine can be retained as a reserve tree when regenerating other species.

Representation of reserve trees can range from none to many. If silviculture is to simulate, to some extent, natural disturbance processes, then most actively managed stands should include some level of structural retention. To accomplish general sustainable forestry goals that include multiple stand management objectives, recommended representation could typically range from 3-15% of stand area or crown cover. In some stands, particularly intensively managed single objective stands (e.g. maximize short-term economic returns, maximize pulp production, or maximize populations of wildlife species that prefer completely open, treeless habitat), landowners may choose to not retain reserve trees. In some stands, with appropriate species and site characteristics, where the optimization of tree vigor and timber quantity and quality is a minor concern, adaptive silvicultural practices that retain 20-60% cover could be considered by the landowner. It is recommended that sound reasons and expected impacts be documented when the decision is to retain reserve trees at less than or greater than the recommended level of 3-15% of stand area or crown cover.

Distribution of reserve trees can be evenly or irregularly dispersed individuals, groups, and patches.

Retention in aggregated patches generally provides the most benefits, including:

- patches of habitat that maintain forest floor, understory plants, and vertical structure within the patch, and increase compositional and structural diversity,
- more heterogeneity across the stand,
- less damage to retained trees during harvesting operations, and
- less impact on regeneration in stand matrix.

Patch retention should consider retention of large trees, cavity trees, and snags within the patches. Reserve patches can be thinned during the even-aged rotational harvest of the matrix; however, retention of unthinned patches potentially provides the greatest benefit. Patches can be located to complement other management objectives or respond to stand conditions; for example, patches can be located in riparian management zones, to provide connectivity between stands, and to protect sensitive sites (e.g. cliff faces and vernal pools) or endangered resources. Patches should be >0.1 acres and generally <2.0 acres, but can be larger; patches, particularly large ones,

should be documented as retention patches.

Retention of evenly dispersed individual trees also provides unique benefits, including:

- retention of comparatively more large trees, and
- wide distribution of structural benefits (large trees, snags, and coarse woody debris) and seed sources.

Retention of irregularly dispersed individual trees and small groups provides another strategy; this can be particularly useful to develop feathered edges to stands and reduce abrupt transitions and edge effects.

The general recommended strategy is to retain irregularly distributed patches along with scattered groups and individuals.

| Table 24.1 Patch sizes for retention and approximate dimensions (circular and square) | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|
| Area (acres) | Diameter (feet) | Square (feet) |
| 0.1 | 74 | 66 x 66 |
| 0.25 | 118 | 104 x 104 |
| 0.5 | 167 | 148 x 148 |
| 0.75 | 204 | 181 x 181 |
| 1.0 | 236 | 209 x 209 |
| 1.5 | 288 | 256 x 256 |
| 2.0 | 333 | 295 x 295 |

Stand representation and spatial distribution patterns of reserve trees can be highly variable. The goal of heterogeneity of conditions indicates a wide array of retention strategies. Retention design, including amount to retain, species, and distribution, can enable the production of increased benefits and minimize potential costs. Criteria to consider when determining desired representation and distribution include: landowner goals and stand management objectives, current and desired stand and community condition, characteristics of current and desired plant and animal species, potential damaging agents, site, and landscape characteristics. Detailed landscape analysis and planning that clearly addresses the sustainable allocation of resources, including the production of timber and the conservation of biodiversity, can improve upon stand-based management guidelines (such as those offered herein).

Figure 24-7. Reserve trees retained in patches.



Photo by Jeff Martin,
J-Mar Photography

Figures 24-8. Reserve trees retained as a group.



Photo by Joe Kovach

Figures 24-9. Reserve trees retained irregularly as individuals.



Photo by Joe Kovach

Recommendations for Retention in Managed Stands: Reserve Trees, Mast Trees, Cavity Trees, and Snags

Sustainable forest management is implemented within a framework defined by landowner goals and objectives, ecosystem condition and potential and sustainable silvicultural systems and practices. Forests are cultivated to provide a variety of socio-economic and ecological benefits. Sustainable forest management integrates multiple management goals and objectives into most silvicultural systems and the management of most stands and landscapes.

Most stands that are actively managed include timber production as a management goal (often in concert with other goals). Tree retention typically focuses on crop tree selection and regeneration methods. To satisfy multiple objectives and provide multiple benefits, retain additional trees to achieve non-timber management objectives. Integrate the following recommendations for tree and snag retention into the management of most forest stands:

- Even-aged rotations
 - Retain ≥ 3 (if available), preferably large, snags per acre.
 - Retain reserve trees and/or patches at 3-15% crown cover or stand area, including large vigorous trees, mast trees, and cavity trees. Reserve tree retention is a generally recommended silvicultural practice for stands ≥ 10 acres. It is encouraged in smaller stands, but operational, shading, and other biological issues may limit application.
- Even-aged intermediate treatments
 - Retain ≥ 3 (if available), preferably large, snags per acre.
 - Retain ≥ 3 (if available), preferably large, cavity trees per acre.
 - Retain ≥ 3 (if available), preferably large, mast trees per acre.
 - If previously established, manage reserve trees and patches. Management may include timber harvesting or passive retention. Consider retaining ≥ 3 trees per acre to develop into large, old trees and to complete their natural lifespan. These trees may also satisfy cavity and mast tree recommendations. These trees will often become large snags and coarse woody debris.
- Uneven-aged systems
 - Retain ≥ 3 (if available), preferably large, snags per acre.
 - Retain ≥ 3 (if available), preferably large, cavity trees per acre.
 - Retain ≥ 3 (if available), preferably large, mast trees per acre.
 - Consider retaining ≥ 3 trees per acre to develop into large, old trees and to complete their natural lifespan. These trees may also satisfy cavity and mast tree recommendations. These trees will often become large snags and coarse woody debris.

In cases where these recommendations for retention are not applied, then sound reasons and expected impacts of deviation should be documented.

When applying retention recommendations, be sure to consider:

- Retention will occur at the “Harvest Unit” level. Harvest Unit is defined as the stands within a timber sale. RMZ or Z prefix stands occurring within or adjacent to the Harvest Unit can provide retention opportunities. Retention will be encouraged in stands 10 acres in size or less that are managed as even-aged, but will not be required.
- Individual trees can provide multiple benefits and fulfill the intent of more than one of the above recommendations. For example, three large oak trees with cavities could satisfy the

most tree and cavity tree recommendations, as well as the large, old tree consideration.

- Retention of both vigorous and decadent trees will provide an array of benefits.
- In general, species diversity is encouraged when selecting trees to retain.
- Large trees and snags are >12 inches dbh, and preferably >18 inches dbh.
- Trees retained can be scattered uniformly throughout a stand or irregularly dispersed, as single trees, groups, and patches. The general recommended strategy is to retain irregularly distributed patches along with scattered groups and individuals.
- Retention in aggregated patches generally provides the most benefits for wildlife and biodiversity. Also, patches retained can satisfy multiple benefits; for example, at stand rotation, an internal or adjacent unharvested buffer along a stream (RMZ) could provide a portion of reserve tree retention as well as satisfy BMP (water quality) recommendations. Patches should be >0.1 acres and generally <2.0 acres, but can be larger; reserve tree patches, particularly large ones, should be documented as retention patches.
- Harvesting of reserve trees may occur in the future or may be foregone to achieve other benefits. Retain reserve trees for at least one-half the minimum rotation age of the new stand (e.g. retain reserve trees at least 20-25 years if regenerating aspen). Consider retaining some trees to develop into large, old trees and to complete their natural lifespan; these trees will often become large cavity trees, snags, and coarse woody debris.
- Retain as many snags as possible. Retention of snag diversity (species and size) can potentially provide the greatest array of benefits. Snags that are determined to be a threat to human safety can be cut and retained on site as coarse woody debris.
- Clearly designate, in writing and/or by marking, which trees should be retained prior to any cutting operations.

820.8 BIOMASS HARVESTING GUIDELINES

Barron County has not been involved in biomass harvesting in the past. However if the situation arises guidance will be followed as contained in the Silviculture handbook.

825 ANIMAL SPECIES MANAGEMENT

Barron County Forest provides a wide range of wildlife habitats from open grasslands/barrens to mature forests, from bogs to forested wetlands, from spring ponds to lake shorelines. A primary goal of wildlife management on the Barron County Forest is to provide a diversity of healthy ecosystems necessary to sustain and enhance native wildlife populations. This forest will be managed primarily to provide habitats for a suite of species rather than focusing on a specific species, with exceptions made for Federal or State Listed Endangered or Threatened Species.

825.1 TECHNICAL PLANNING

Management of wildlife populations on the Barron County Forest falls under the jurisdiction of the DNR. Planning may be a cooperative effort of the County Forest staff, DNR liaison forester and wildlife manager in formulating management plans and utilizing forest and wildlife management techniques to accomplish desired forest and wildlife management goals.

825.2 GUIDELINES

DNR operational handbooks including the Public Forest Lands Handbook (2460.5), manual codes and guidance documents are important references and guidelines to utilize in fish and wildlife planning efforts.

825.3 INVENTORY

Habitat needs will be determined by analysis of forest reconnaissance information. Population estimates will be conducted periodically by DNR wildlife, endangered resources personnel, and other trained cooperators. Currently, Department Wildlife staff may conduct all or some of the following surveys on or adjacent to the Barron County Forest:

- Biotic Inventories
- Summer deer observations

- Brood surveys
- Furbearer tracking
- Frog and Toad Surveys
- Bat Monitoring
- Bear bait surveys
- Snapshot Wisconsin

825.4 RESOURCE MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS FOR WILDLIFE

The following areas of focus are identified for achieving plan objects and for benefit of wildlife.

825.4.1 General Management Policies

Forest management practices may be modified to benefit wildlife and diversity. The following will be considered when planning for management activities:

- Even-aged regeneration harvests (clear-cuts) should vary in size and shape and include retention considerations.
- A diversity of stand age, size and species.
- Mast-bearing trees and shrubs, cavity trees, and an adequate number and variety of snags.
- Cull trees (future snag or den trees) not interfering with specific high value trees.
- Timber types, habitat conditions and impacts on affected wildlife.
- Access management.
- Best management practices for water quality (BMP's).

825.5 IMPORTANCE OF HABITATS

Important habitat types are those cover types known to be of importance to certain native wildlife and whose absence would make that wildlife significantly less abundant. These shortages may be on a local or broader scale. The following habitat types can be considered important:

825.5.1 Non-forested wetlands

The Barron County Forest contains 1849 acres of non-forested wetland types providing a variety of habitats for common, rare and endangered species. Emergent wetland, sedge meadow, muskeg bog and deep marsh provide habitat for species such as wood turtle, black tern, American bittern, and numerous other species.

825.5.2 Aquatic habitats

The Barron County Forest includes 1015 acres of lakes, rivers, streams, ponds and other aquatic habitats. Open water provides habitat for species such as wood duck, boreal chorus frog, water shrew and many other species reliant on water related resources.

825.5.3 Riparian and other non-managed areas

Undisturbed shoreline and riparian areas present on the forest and provide habitat for species such as red shouldered hawk, green frog, and woodland jumping mouse.

825.5.4 Early successional forests

Management of aspen, white birch, and other shade intolerant species creates habitat for a large suite of wildlife species that benefit from early successional forests. On the Barron County Forest there are currently 4684 acres of these forest types present. This is a key habitat used for recreational hunting activities providing conditions favorable for American woodcock, ruffed grouse, white-tailed deer and non-game species such as golden-winged warbler, Kirkland's warbler and black-billed cuckoo.

825.5.5 Conifers

Conifers, whether jack pine, white pine, spruce, fir or other types appear to be an important habitat for a number of wildlife species. The Barron County Forest currently has 1156 acres of coniferous habitat. Connecticut warbler, red crossbill, northern flying squirrel, and many others utilize conifer types. Jack pine areas can be managed to provide temporary barrens habitat providing habitat for Kirtland's warbler and other barren related species.

825.5.6 Oak management

Oak is an important mast producing food source on the forest, providing acorns for a wide variety of game and non-game species. The Barron County Forest has 5000 acres of oak habitat. It is considered a critical resource to retain on the landscape for both its timber and wildlife value, providing habitat for species such as scarlet tanager, wood thrush, red headed woodpecker, and black bear.

825.5.7 Uneven/all aged management

Management of uneven aged stands provides for multi-storied canopies, diverse age structure and potentially older forest characters. The Barron County Forest has 2183 acres being managed under an all aged management system. Species such as Canada warbler, little brown bat, black throated blue warbler and many others benefit from these forest type, In addition, numerous amphibian and reptiles utilize these forest types.

825.5.8 Large forest blocks

Large blocks of County Forest provide habitat for numerous interior species. Gray wolf, black throated blue warbler, Canada warbler and least flycatcher are a few examples of animals that rely on these large blocks.

825.5.9 Grasslands, openings, upland brush

Wildlife openings, grass rights-of-way, natural openings, upland brush and other upland open habitats provide for diversity and unique habitats benefitting pollinators, numerous species including upland plover and whip-poor-will. Barron County Forest currently has 76 acres identified as open grassland or upland brush habitat.

825.6 INTENSIVE WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT PROJECTS

At this time no intensive wildlife management projects are taking place on the county forest.

825.6.1 Wisconsin Wildlife Action Plan / Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN)

In addition to species listed as endangered, threatened or special concern within the NHI database, the Department also maintains a statewide list of species of greatest conservation need.

This list includes species that have low or declining populations and may be in need of conservation action. The list includes birds, fish, mammals, reptiles, amphibians and insects that are:

- Already listed as threatened or endangered
- At risk due to threats
- Rare due to small or declining populations
- Showing declining trends in habitat or populations

The WWAP working list can provide information on how management activities may impact, or in many cases benefit species of greatest conservation need. More information is available on the WWAP website:

<https://dnr.wi.gov/topic/wildlifehabitat/actionplan.html> .

825.7 FISH AND WATERS MANAGEMENT

Public waters shall be managed to provide for optimum natural fish production, an opportunity for quality recreation, and a healthy balanced aquatic ecosystem. Emphasis will also be placed on land-use practices that benefit the aquatic community. Management of County Forest lands will attempt to preserve and/or improve fish habitat and water quality.

825.7.1 Technical Planning and Surveys

Management of all waters within the County Forest is the responsibility of the DNR. Technical assistance will be provided by the local fisheries biologist. Studies and management will be conducted in the manner described in DNR Fish Management Handbook 3605.9. Water and Population Surveys fall under the jurisdiction of the Department and will be conducted as needed by fisheries biologists.

825.7.2 Special Projects

Currently there are no special fisheries projects on the County Forest.

825.7.3 Shoreland Zoning

The Barron County Zoning recognizes the difference between accepted silvicultural practices and land clearing. A special exception is still required for some timber removals above 30 percent of shoreline cover but the Board of Review accepts the plans of the Forest Administrator in their considerations.

825.7.4 Access and development

Access and development of County Forest waters will be limited to those activities consistent with the above water management policies.

825.7.5 Important Water Resources

Management activities adjacent to these water resources, or in areas with sensitive soils or severe slopes, should consider measures above and beyond the customary BMP practices. County staff may work with their liaison forester in cooperation with the local DNR water resources staff to develop site-specific measures where appropriate. An inventory of water resources can be obtained from DNR Water staff for the County. Important water resources on the Forest include:

| NAMED LAKES (ENTIRELY WITHIN COUNTY FOREST) | | | |
|--|--|-------|----------------------------|
| Name | Legal Description Section-Town- Range | Acres | Public Frontage (miles) |
| Kelley | 36-12-18 | 17 | 1.1 |
| Kirby | 36-12-08 | 7 | 0.4 |
| NAMED LAKES (PARTIAL FRONTAGE IN COUNTY FOREST) | | | |

| | | | |
|------------------|----------|-------|-----|
| Bear | 36-12-04 | 1,358 | 0.5 |
| Beauty | 36-14-11 | 11 | 0.3 |
| Chain | 36-10-17 | 107 | 0.4 |
| Crooked | 36-12-09 | 15 | 0.2 |
| Goose | 36-10-18 | 12 | 0.3 |
| Hemlock | 36-10-25 | 357 | 0.5 |
| Kelly's | 36-14-07 | 19 | 0.6 |
| Little Butternut | 36-12-07 | 19 | 0.3 |
| Lower Waterman | 36-14-08 | 14 | 0.2 |
| Sand Lake | 36-14-21 | 300 | 0.3 |
| Little Sand Lake | 36-14-27 | 84 | 0.4 |

| NAMED STREAMS LOCATED ON COUNTY FOREST | | | |
|--|---|--------------------|---------------------------|
| Name | Legal Description Section-Town- Range | Miles of Stream | Classed as Trout Water |
| Hemlock | 36-10-15 | 0.5 | No |
| Little Bear | 36-12-16 | 1.0 | No |
| Moose Ear | 34-10-25 | 2.0 | Yes |
| Pigeon | 36-10-12 | 1.5 | No |
| Rock | 35-10-36 | 0.3 | Yes |
| Sand | 36-14-06 | 1.0 | No |
| Silver | 32-14-01 | 1.5 | Yes |
| Silver | 35-10-27 | 0.2 | Yes |
| Sucker | 36-12-08 | 2.0 | No |
| Turtle | 32-14-01 | 2.5 | Yes |

830 EXCEPTIONAL RESOURCES, UNIQUE AREAS

830.1 HCVF FOR FSC® AND DUAL CERTIFIED COUNTIES

The DNR established criteria for establishing HCVFs (High Conservation Value Forests) on state lands is found below. For the purpose of this plan, the county recognizes this criterion for identifying HCVFs on county land. This does not preclude the county from identifying other unique areas that do not meet the definition of HCVFs.

<https://dnr.wi.gov/topic/TimberSales/documents/DNRLandsHCVFSelectionCriteriaFinal.pdf>

At this time the Barron County Forest does not contain any HCVPs.

830.2 AREAS RECOGNIZED BY STATE OR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

830.2.1 State Natural Areas

- Rock Creek Felsenmeer State Natural Area
Find more information at the following link;
<https://dnr.wi.gov/topic/Lands/naturalareas/index.asp?SNA=324>
- Bear Lake Sedge Meadow State Natural Area
Find more information at the following link;
<https://dnr.wi.gov/topic/Lands/naturalareas/index.asp?SNA=323>

830.3 CULTURALLY SIGNIFICANT SITES

830.3.1 Doyle Township Pipestone Quarry.

Located in Doyle Township this ground is the source of rock composed of a mixture of kaolinite, muscovite, anatase, hematite and quartz. American Indians often traveled hundreds of miles by foot and horseback to obtain the unique stone from which they made their pipes. A widespread legend among the American Indians is that the red stone is made from the flesh and blood of their ancestors. This gives the red pipestone a great deal of sacredness that commands reverence. Long before the first white man arrived in our area, Indians of many tribes would come here to obtain the prized red stone. Their pipes were of many styles shapes and designs. They used them on many ceremonial occasions, solemnizing the event.

835 AESTHETICS

Public perception of forestry has changed over the last planning period and in general it appears that the public is much more accepting of the visual impact of sound forestry. In response to this, aesthetic management planning is intended to be much more simplified in this Plan.

835.1 AESTHETIC MANAGEMENT

Aesthetic management techniques may be applied in areas of high visibility or high public use. Altered management, visual screens, slash disposal, conversion to other species, no cut zones or other methods may be employed, depending on the circumstances of the specific site.

835.2 AESTHETIC MANAGEMENT ZONES

Aesthetic Management Zones include areas where there may be high levels of public presence because of scenic attraction, or some use of the area that would be enhanced by special timber management practices.

835.2.1 Aesthetic Management Zone Examples may include Lakes and rivers with significant recreational use. Roads with heavy traffic or scenic drives.

835.2.2 Aesthetic Management Prescriptions/Options

Aesthetic Management Zone Prescriptions may include;

- Adjustment timing of timber harvesting
- Slash restrictions/requirements
- Staggered Harvests / Visual Screens
- Forced conversion to longer lived species
- Irregular harvest lines, interrupted sight distances

840 LANDSCAPE MANAGEMENT

The County will make efforts to evaluate surrounding landscapes while managing the County Forest. The County will strive to provide management that compliments the landscapes, but also try to provide for resources or forest types that are lacking or declining within surrounding landscapes.

840.1 CONSERVATION OF BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY

For the purposes of this plan, biological diversity will be interpreted to reference the variety and abundance of species, their genetic composition, and the communities, ecosystems, and landscapes in which they occur. Forest management activities on the Barron County Forest enhance biological diversity by managing for a wide variety of habitat types, age structures and by attempting to perpetuate and protect declining forest types.

840.2 HABITAT FRAGMENTATION

For the purposes of this plan, habitat fragmentation is interpreted as conversion of forests to land uses other than forestry. Lands enrolled in the County Forest Law help protect against habitat fragmentation. A continued program of encouraging land acquisition within the forest blocking boundary is intended to decrease the conversion of forest land to other uses.